Foundations of Computing Lecture 12

Arkady Yerukhimovich

February 27, 2024

Arkady Yerukhimovich

CS 3313 - Foundations of Computing

February 27, 2024

1 Lecture 10+11 Review

2 Models of Computation

- 3 The Turing Machine
- 4 Formalizing Turing Machines

< 円

→ ∃ →

э

- Equivalence of CFGs and PDAs
- CFL Pumping Lemma
- Using the CFL Pumping Lemma

Lecture 10+11 Review

2 Models of Computation

3 The Turing Machine

4 Formalizing Turing Machines

∃ →

Finite Automata



Recall:

- $\bullet\,$ An NFA/DFA has no external storage
- Only memory must be encoded in the finite number of states
- Can only recognize regular languages

Arkady Yerukhimovich

CS 3313 - Foundations of Computing

Pushdown Automata (PDA)



A PDA consists of:

- An NFA for a control unit
- A Stack for storage

∃ >

Pushdown Automata (PDA)



A PDA consists of:

- An NFA for a control unit
- A Stack for storage

Recall:

- Can only access memory in LIFO fashion
- Can only recognize context-free languages

Arkady Yerukhimovich

CS 3313 - Foundations of Computing

Question

All the prior models of computation couldn't recognize some simple languages. Can we develop a computation model that captures all languages that can be computed on any computer?

Our Goal

One model to rule them all!

1 Lecture 10+11 Review

- 2 Models of Computation
- 3 The Turing Machine

Arkady Yerukhimovich

4 Formalizing Turing Machines

∃ →

The Turing Machine



æ

< ∃⇒



• A TM can read and write to its tape



- A TM can read and write to its tape
- The read/write head can move to the right and to the left



- A TM can read and write to its tape
- The read/write head can move to the right and to the left
- No separate input tape, input written onto memory tape at start



- A TM can read and write to its tape
- The read/write head can move to the right and to the left
- No separate input tape, input written onto memory tape at start
- The memory tape is infinite



- A TM can read and write to its tape
- The read/write head can move to the right and to the left
- No separate input tape, input written onto memory tape at start
- The memory tape is infinite
- Control FA has accept and reject states that are immediately output if entered

An Algorithm for *M*: On input string *s* (written on the tape):

An Algorithm for *M*:

On input string *s* (written on the tape):

Scan the input to check that it contains exactly one # symbol, if not reject.

An Algorithm for *M*:

On input string *s* (written on the tape):

- Scan the input to check that it contains exactly one # symbol, if not reject.
- Zigzag to corresponding positions on each side of the # and see if they contain same symbol. If not, reject. Cross off symbols as they are checked

XX # X I

An Algorithm for *M*:

On input string *s* (written on the tape):

- Scan the input to check that it contains exactly one # symbol, if not reject.
- 2 Zigzag to corresponding positions on each side of the # and see if they contain same symbol. If not, reject. Cross off symbols as they are checked
- When all symbols to the left of # have been crossed off, check that no uncrossed-off symbols remain to the right of #. If any symbols remain, reject, otherwise accept.

Recognizing s = 011000 # 011000:

< A[™]

< ∃⇒

э

Recognizing s = 011000 # 011000:

011000#011000 ⊔ · · ·

< ∃⇒

Recognizing s = 011000 # 011000:

011000#011000 ⊔ · · ·

*x*11000**#**011000 ⊔ · · ·

< ∃⇒

011000#011000 ⊔ · · ·

*x*11000#**0**11000 ⊔ · · ·

x**1**1000#x11000 ⊔ · · ·

→ ∃ →

011000#011000 ⊔ · · ·

*x*11000**#**011000 ⊔ · · ·

x**1**1000#x11000 ⊔ · · ·

 $xx1000 \# x11000 \sqcup \cdots$

< ∃⇒

011000#011000 ⊔ · · ·

*x*11000#**0**11000 ⊔ · · ·

x**1**1000#x11000 ⊔ · · ·

 $xx1000 \# x 11000 \sqcup \cdots$

 $xxxxxx # xxxxxx \sqcup \cdots$

. . .

< ∃⇒

011000#011000 ⊔ · · ·

*x*11000#**0**11000 ⊔ · · ·

x11000#**x**11000 ⊔ · · ·

 $xx1000 \# x 11000 \sqcup \cdots$

 $xxxxxx # xxxxxx \sqcup \cdots$

accept

. . .

- ∢ ⊒ →

Arkady Yerukhimovich

CS 3313 – Foundations of Computing

< A

< ∃⇒

æ

• A collection of simple instructions for carrying out some task

- A collection of simple instructions for carrying out some task
- A process according to which it can be determined by a finite number of operations Hilbert 1900

- A collection of simple instructions for carrying out some task
- A process according to which it can be determined by a finite number of operations Hilbert 1900

Algorithms are critical to understand solutions / complexity of a problem

- A collection of simple instructions for carrying out some task
- A process according to which it can be determined by a finite number of operations Hilbert 1900

Algorithms are critical to understand solutions / complexity of a problem

• To show how to solve a problem, we design an algorithm

- A collection of simple instructions for carrying out some task
- A process according to which it can be determined by a finite number of operations Hilbert 1900

Algorithms are critical to understand solutions / complexity of a problem

- To show how to solve a problem, we design an algorithm
- To reason about languages accepted by NFA/PDA, we designed algorithms

- A collection of simple instructions for carrying out some task
- A process according to which it can be determined by a finite number of operations Hilbert 1900

Algorithms are critical to understand solutions / complexity of a problem

- To show how to solve a problem, we design an algorithm
- To reason about languages accepted by NFA/PDA, we designed algorithms
- How can we reason about the limits of what an algorithm can compute?

Church-Turing Thesis (1936)

Anything that can be computed by an algorithm can be computed by a Turing Machine

Church-Turing Thesis (1936)

Anything that can be computed by an algorithm can be computed by a Turing Machine

Observations:

Church-Turing Thesis (1936)

Anything that can be computed by an algorithm can be computed by a Turing Machine

Observations:

• While unproven, all modern computers satisfy Church-Turing thesis
Church-Turing Thesis (1936)

Anything that can be computed by an algorithm can be computed by a Turing Machine

Observations:

- While unproven, all modern computers satisfy Church-Turing thesis
- To prove that some problem cannot be solved by an algorithm, enough to reason about Turing Machines

Church-Turing Thesis (1936)

Anything that can be computed by an algorithm can be computed by a Turing Machine

Observations:

- While unproven, all modern computers satisfy Church-Turing thesis
- To prove that some problem cannot be solved by an algorithm, enough to reason about Turing Machines
- This means that Turing Machines give an abstraction to capture "feasible computation"

Church-Turing Thesis (1936)

Anything that can be computed by an algorithm can be computed by a Turing Machine

Observations:

- While unproven, all modern computers satisfy Church-Turing thesis
- To prove that some problem cannot be solved by an algorithm, enough to reason about Turing Machines
- This means that Turing Machines give an abstraction to capture "feasible computation"

- 1 Lecture 10+11 Review
- 2 Models of Computation
- 3 The Turing Machine
- 4 Formalizing Turing Machines

∃ →

A Turing machine is a 7-tuple:

Arkady Yerukhimovich

Image: Image:

3 N 3

A Turing machine is a 7-tuple:

Q – set of states

Arkady Yerukhimovich

3 N 3

- A Turing machine is a 7-tuple:
 - Q set of states
 - **2** Σ input alphabet (not including blank symbol \Box)

A Turing machine is a 7-tuple:

- Q set of states
- **2** Σ input alphabet (not including blank symbol \sqcup)



A Turing machine is a 7-tuple:

- Q set of states
- ② Σ input alphabet (not including blank symbol ⊔)
- $\delta: Q \times \Gamma \to Q \times \Gamma \times \{L, R\} \text{transition function}$

- A Turing machine is a 7-tuple:
 - Q set of states
 - ② Σ input alphabet (not including blank symbol ⊔)
 - $\textcircled{O} \ \ \Gamma \ \ tape \ alphabet, \ where \ \sqcup \in \Gamma \ and \ \Sigma \subseteq \Gamma$
 - $\ \, \bullet \ \, \delta: Q \times \Gamma \to Q \times \Gamma \times \{L,R\} transition \ \, function$
 - **(**) $q_0 \in Q$ start state
 - $q_{accept} \in Q$ accept state
 - $q_{reject} \in Q$ reject state

Transition function: $\delta : Q \times \Gamma \rightarrow Q \times \Gamma \times \{L, R\}$

- A Turing machine is a 7-tuple:
 - Q set of states
 - ② Σ input alphabet (not including blank symbol ⊔)
 - $\textcircled{O} \ \ \Gamma \ \ tape \ alphabet, \ where \ \sqcup \in \Gamma \ and \ \Sigma \subseteq \Gamma$
 - $\ \, \bullet \ \, \delta: Q \times \Gamma \to Q \times \Gamma \times \{L,R\} transition \ \, function$
 - **(**) $q_0 \in Q$ start state
 - $q_{accept} \in Q$ accept state
 - $q_{reject} \in Q$ reject state

Transition function: $\delta : Q \times \Gamma \rightarrow Q \times \Gamma \times \{L, R\}$ On state q and tape input γ :

A Turing machine is a 7-tuple:

- Q set of states
- ② Σ input alphabet (not including blank symbol ⊔)
- $\textcircled{O} \ \ \Gamma \ \ tape \ alphabet, \ where \ \sqcup \in \Gamma \ and \ \Sigma \subseteq \Gamma$
- $\ \, \bullet \ \, \delta: Q \times \Gamma \to Q \times \Gamma \times \{L,R\} transition \ \, function$
- **(**) $q_0 \in Q$ start state
- $q_{accept} \in Q$ accept state
- $q_{reject} \in Q$ reject state

Transition function: $\delta : Q \times \Gamma \rightarrow Q \times \Gamma \times \{L, R\}$ On state q and tape input γ :

• move control to state q',

- A Turing machine is a 7-tuple:
 - Q set of states
 - ② Σ input alphabet (not including blank symbol ⊔)
 - $\textcircled{O} \ \ \Gamma \ \ tape \ alphabet, \ where \ \sqcup \in \Gamma \ and \ \Sigma \subseteq \Gamma$
 - $\ \, \bullet \ \, \delta: Q \times \Gamma \to Q \times \Gamma \times \{L,R\} transition \ \, function$
 - **(**) $q_0 \in Q$ start state
 - $q_{accept} \in Q$ accept state
 - $q_{reject} \in Q$ reject state

Transition function: $\delta : Q \times \Gamma \rightarrow Q \times \Gamma \times \{L, R\}$ On state q and tape input γ :

- move control to state q',
- write γ' to the tape,

- A Turing machine is a 7-tuple:
 - Q set of states
 - ② Σ input alphabet (not including blank symbol ⊔)
 - ${\small \textcircled{\ }} {\small \bold{ \ }} \Gamma \ \ tape \ alphabet, \ where \ \sqcup \in \Gamma \ and \ \Sigma \subseteq \Gamma$
 - $\ \, \bullet \ \, \delta: Q \times \Gamma \to Q \times \Gamma \times \{L,R\} transition \ \, function$
 - **(**) $q_0 \in Q$ start state
 - $q_{accept} \in Q$ accept state
 - $q_{reject} \in Q$ reject state

Transition function: $\delta : Q \times \Gamma \rightarrow Q \times \Gamma \times \{L, R\}$ On state q and tape input γ :

- move control to state q',
- write γ' to the tape,
- and move the tape head one spot to either Left or Right

15/21



Configuration of a TM

Arkady Yerukhimovich

CS 3313 – Foundations of Computing

э



Arkady Yerukhimovich

CS 3313 - Foundations of Computing

February 27, 2024



Configuration of a TM

- Describes the state of a TM computation
- Current state of control, state of tape, location of tape head



Configuration of a TM

- Describes the state of a TM computation
- Current state of control, state of tape, location of tape head
- Example: 01q₃10



Configuration of a TM

- Describes the state of a TM computation
- Current state of control, state of tape, location of tape head
- Example: 01q₃10

Definitions:

• Configuration C_1 yields C_2 , if M can go from C_1 to C_2 in a single step



Configuration of a TM

- Describes the state of a TM computation
- Current state of control, state of tape, location of tape head
- Example: 01q₃10

Definitions:

- Configuration C_1 yields C_2 , if M can go from C_1 to C_2 in a single step
- start configuration of M on input s configuration q_0s



Configuration of a TM

- Describes the state of a TM computation
- Current state of control, state of tape, location of tape head
- Example: 01q₃10

Definitions:

- Configuration C_1 yields C_2 , if M can go from C_1 to C_2 in a single step
- start configuration of M on input s configuration q_0s
- accepting configuration any config with state q_{accept}



Configuration of a TM

- Describes the state of a TM computation
- Current state of control, state of tape, location of tape head
- Example: 01q₃10

Definitions:

- Configuration C_1 yields C_2 , if M can go from C_1 to C_2 in a single step
- start configuration of M on input s configuration q_0s
- accepting configuration any config with state q_{accept}
- rejecting configuration any config with state q_{reject}



Configuration of a TM

- Describes the state of a TM computation
- Current state of control, state of tape, location of tape head
- Example: 01q₃10

Definitions:

- Configuration C_1 yields C_2 , if M can go from C_1 to C_2 in a single step
- start configuration of M on input s configuration q_0s
- accepting configuration any config with state q_{accept}
- rejecting configuration any config with state q_{reject}
- halting configuration accepting or rejecting configs

Arkady Yerukhimovich

CS 3313 - Foundations of Computing



Configuration of a TM

- Describes the state of a TM computation
- Current state of control, state of tape, location of tape head
- Example: 01q₃10

Definitions:

- Configuration C_1 yields C_2 , if M can go from C_1 to C_2 in a single step
- start configuration of M on input s configuration q_0s
- accepting configuration any config with state q_{accept}
- rejecting configuration any config with state q_{reject}
- halting configuration accepting or rejecting configs

Arkady Yerukhimovich

CS 3313 - Foundations of Computing

< A

э

∃ >

• C_1 is the start configuration of M on input s

- C_1 is the start configuration of M on input s
- 2 Each C_i yields C_{i+1}

- C_1 is the start configuration of M on input s
- 2 Each C_i yields C_{i+1}
- **③** C_k is an accepting configuration

- C_1 is the start configuration of M on input s
- 2 Each C_i yields C_{i+1}
- **③** C_k is an accepting configuration

Language L(M)

The collection of strings that M accepts

Characterizing Computability of Languages

Arkady Yerukhimovich

э

Characterizing Computability of Languages

Definition: Recursively enumerable languages

A language L is *Turing-recognizable* or *recursively enumerable* if some TM M recognizes it

A language L is *Turing-recognizable* or *recursively enumerable* if some TM M recognizes it

• *M* halts and accepts all strings in *L*

A language L is *Turing-recognizable* or *recursively enumerable* if some TM M recognizes it

- *M* halts and accepts all strings in *L*
- M may not halt on strings not in L does not necessarily have to reject

A language L is *Turing-recognizable* or *recursively enumerable* if some TM M recognizes it

- *M* halts and accepts all strings in *L*
- M may not halt on strings not in L does not necessarily have to reject

Definition: Decidable languages

A language L is *decidable* or *recursive* if some TM M decides it

A language L is *Turing-recognizable* or *recursively enumerable* if some TM M recognizes it

- *M* halts and accepts all strings in *L*
- M may not halt on strings not in L does not necessarily have to reject

Definition: Decidable languages

A language L is *decidable* or *recursive* if some TM M decides it

• M halts on all inputs, accepting those in L and rejecting those not in L
Consider
$$L = \{0^{2^n} \mid n \ge 0\}$$

TM algorithm M for recognizing L: On input s:

문 🛌 🖻

Consider
$$L = \{0^{2^n} \mid n \ge 0\}$$

TM algorithm M for recognizing L: On input s:

If the tape has exactly one 0, accept

- If the tape has exactly one 0, accept
- If the tape has an odd number of 0's, greater than 1, reject

- If the tape has exactly one 0, accept
- If the tape has an odd number of 0's, greater than 1, reject
- Sweep left to right across tape, crossing out every other 0

- If the tape has exactly one 0, accept
- If the tape has an odd number of 0's, greater than 1, reject
- Sweep left to right across tape, crossing out every other 0
- Return the head to the left-hand end of the tape

- If the tape has exactly one 0, accept
- If the tape has an odd number of 0's, greater than 1, reject
- Sweep left to right across tape, crossing out every other 0
- Return the head to the left-hand end of the tape
- Go to step 1

- If the tape has exactly one 0, accept
- If the tape has an odd number of 0's, greater than 1, reject
- Sweep left to right across tape, crossing out every other 0
- Return the head to the left-hand end of the tape
- Go to step 1

Making M Formal

- $Q = \{q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4, q_5, q_3, q_7\}$
- $\Sigma = \{0\}$
- $\Gamma = \{0, x, \sqcup\}$

Arkady Yerukhimovich

δ :

2

Image: A matrix

Making M Formal



Running M on w = 0000



< 円

- ∢ ⊒ →

э